IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

	§	
RICHARD MILLER	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	CIV. A. NO. 3:09-cv-0440-O
•	§	ECF
ν.	§	
	§	
RAYTHEON COMPANY,	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	

PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED EXHIBIT LIST AND DEPOSITION DESIGNATIONS

TO THE HONORABLE REED O'CONNOR:

In accordance with the Court's scheduling order and Local Rule 26.2 and its order of June 21, 2010, Plaintiff Richard Miller submits the following list of exhibits that he may offer at the trial of this case. The list does not include exhibits that he may offer solely for impeachment purposes. Additionally, Plaintiff incorporates any exhibit listed in Defendant's exhibit list.

1. <u>EXHIBITS THAT PLAINTIFF MAY OFFER</u>

				DEF.'S		
No.	DESCRIPTION	IDENTIFIER	AGREED	OBJECTION(S)	OFFERED	ADMITTED
1.	Richard Miller's résumé	RM 1020- 1021	X			
1A.	Richard Miller's résumé	Raytheon/ Miller 1067	X			
2.	Performance Development Summaries re: Richard Miller Additional Performance Development Summaries re: Richard Miller	Raytheon/Mill er 186, 193-196 RM 1022- 1133		Def. intends to stipulate to performance Fed. R. Evid. 901-902; Def. intends to stipulate to performance		
4.	Excerpts of Miller's notes 2007 Performance Screen re: Richard Miller	RM 206-219 Ex. 11/RM 585-90	X	Fed. R. Evid. 802 (re: statements by 3 rd party benefits admin.), 803(5)		

				DEF.'S		
No.	DESCRIPTION	IDENTIFIER	AGREED	OBJECTION(S)	OFFERED	ADMITTED
	Excerpts of Miller's notes re: 2007					
6.	mid-year review and staff meetings	RM 550, 563		Fed. R. Evid. 803(5)		
	2007 Performance Development	Raytheon/				
_	Summary re: Richard Miller and	Miller 180,	***			
7.	cover email	184-85	X	"Confidential";		
8.	Michael Rynbrandt résumé	Raytheon/Mill er 1018-1019		Hearsay Fed. R. Evid. 802; irrelevant (Fed. R. Evid. 403); also subject to Defendant's pending Motion in Limine "Confidential";		
9.	Performance Development Summaries re: Michael Rynbrandt	Raytheon/ Miller 1020-24		Hearsay Fed. R. Evid. 802; Fed. R. Evid. 403; also subject to Defendant's pending Motion in Limine		
		Ex. 34/				
		Raytheon/				
10.	RIF Q&A	Miller 278-79	X			
11.	SCM RIF ADEA worksheet (and cover e-mail)	Ex. 36/RM 141, 195-205		Irrelevant (FED. R. EVID 403) except as to decisional units under R. Lyells; also subject to Defendant's Motion in Limine		
		Ex. 37/				
10	DIE W. 1 1 M 2000 DIE	Raytheon/	v			
12.	RIF Worksheet re: Mar. 2008 RIF	Miller 389-91 Ex. 38/	X			
13.	Open job requisition worksheet (as of 4/6/08)	Raytheon/ Miller 367-75		Subject to Defendant's Motion in Limine		
14.	Long Service Review Committee notes	Ex. 39/ Raytheon/ Miller 1124- 1127		Defendant agrees subject to redaction of M. Sheppard in that he was not in a decisional unit under R. Lyells.		
15.	Profile – Experience re: Richard Miller	Ex. 43/ Raytheon/ Miller 70	X			
	Performance Development	Ex. 48/ Raytheon/ Miller 1109-	_	"Confidential"; Hearsay Fed. R. Evid. 802; misleading, confusion of issue, undue prejudice outweighs probative value. Fed. R. Evid. 403; also subject to Defendant's Motion in		
16.	Summaries re: Kenneth Barham	1112		Limine Irrelevant (FED. R.		
17.	Job req. #NCS 108111 – Dir. I Supply Chain – Combat Systems	Ex. 14/Raytheon/ Miller 993-98		EVID. 403); also subject to Defendant's Motion in Limine Irrelevant (FED. R.		
18.	Job req. # NCS 108923 – Mgr II Supply Chain	Ex. 15/Raytheon/ Miller 969-71		EVID. 403); also subject to Defendant's Motion in Limine		

No.	DESCRIPTION	IDENTIFIER	AGREED	DEF.'S OBJECTION(S)	OFFERED	ADMITTED
19.	Job req. # 107643 – Mgr. III Supply Chain – Soldier Weapons Systems Supply Chain Capture	Ex. 18/Raytheon/ Miller 982-84		Irrelevant if Plaintiff's age claim is only as to his termination (FED. R. EVID. 403)		
20.	Job req. # NCS 107522 – Sr. Mgr. Supply Chain	Ex. 24/Raytheon/ Miller 1003-08		Irrelevant if Plaintiff's age claim is only as to his termination (FED. R. EVID. 403)		
21.	Job requisition: #SAS108585	Ex. 64/ Raytheon/ Miller 1015- 1017		Irrelevant (FED. R. EVID. 403); also subject to Defendant's Motion in Limine Irrelevant if Plaintiff's		
22.	Job requisition: #SAS108836	Ex. 83/ Raytheon/ Miller 987-989		age claim is only as to his termination (FED. R. EVID. 403)		
23.	Job ID# NCS 107522, NCS 107643, SAS 108685, SAS 108836	RM 1307- 1317		Irrelevant if Plaintiff's age claim is only as to his termination (FED. R. EVID. 403)		
24.	No exhibit					
25.	HREIS report screen re: Richard Miller	Ex. 52/Raytheon/ Miller 1114		Lack of sponsorship/foundatio n. Fed. R. Evid. 901- 902; misleading; confusion of jury and irrelevant (Fed. R. Evid. 403)		
26.	SAS SCM Organization Chart [CONFIDENTIAL]	Ex. 53/ Raytheon/ Miller 1115- 1123		Defendant agrees to admissibility subject to maintaining as "Confidential"		
27.	Organization Charts re: SAS and its sub-units [CONFIDENTIAL]	Ex. 55/ Raytheon/ Miller 9-11		Defendant agrees to admissibility subject to maintaining as "Confidential"		
28.	E-mail chain dated 2/27/08	Ex. 58/ Raytheon/ Miller 861-864		Irrelevant (Fed. R. Evid. 403); "Confidential" Irrelevant (Fed. R.		
29.	E-mail chain between Lyells and Miller dated 1/31/08	Raytheon/ Miller 867-68		Evid. 403); "Confidential"		
30.	G. Meihn e-mail to J. Lam dated 6/16/08	RM 189-190	X	Rule of optional completeness. Defendant does not object subject to response to email from J. Lam being included in exhibit.		
31.	J. Lam notes of telephone calls	Raytheon/ Miller 224		Lacks foundation/ sponsorship. Fed. R. Evid. 901-902; irrelevant (Fed. R. Evid. 403).		
32.	HREIS report screen re: Richard Miller	Ex. 74/ Raytheon/ Miller 287-88		Lacks foundation/ sponsorship. Fed. R. Evid. 901-902; irrelevant (Fed. R. Evid. 403).		

				DEF.'S		
No.	DESCRIPTION	IDENTIFIER	AGREED	OBJECTION(S)	OFFERED	ADMITTED
33.	Candidate Workflow Status re: Richard Miller	Raytheon/ Miller 1044		Irrelevant if Plaintiff's age claim is only as to his termination (FED. R. EVID. 403)		
34.	Screenshot of job requisition status	Ex. 88A/ Raytheon/ Miller 1063-64		Irrelevant if Plaintiff's age claim is only as to his termination (FED. R. EVID. 403)		
35.	Excerpts of Candidate Workflow Status re: #SAS108836	Raytheon/ Miller 1361 (Exs. 85-87)		Irrelevant if Plaintiff's age claim is only as to his termination (FED. R. EVID. 403)		
36.	Raytheon's EEOC position statement, plus business records declaration	Ex. 50/ Raytheon/ Miller 828- 831, 811	X			
37.	Raytheon Company's 2008 Annual Report	Located at www.raytheon .com		Voluminous; undue confusion as to technical matters; irrelevant until court decides to instruct jury on exemplary damages (Fed. R. Evid. 403)		
38.	Raytheon Company's 2009 Annual Report	Located at www.raytheon .com		Voluminous; undue confusion as to technical matters; irrelevant until court decides to instruct jury on exemplary damages (Fed. R. Evid. 403)		
39.	SEC Form 8-k re: Raytheon Company, dated 1/28/10 and Raytheon's Second Supplemental/Amended Answers and/or Objections to Plaintiff's First Interrogatories (Rog. No. 10).	Raytheon/ Miller 1362- 65, 1383	X	Voluminous; undue confusion as to technical matters; irrelevant until court decides to instruct jury on exemplary damages (Fed. R. Evid. 403)		
40.	Economic assessment report for Richard Miller by Dr. J. Herbert Burkman (dated Dec. 16, 2009) [REDACTED]	RM 1318- 1339	X			
40-A	Economic assessment report for Richard Miller by Dr. J. Herbert Burkman (dated Dec. 16, 2009)	RM 1318- 1339	X			
41.	Raytheon TI Systems Employees Pension Plan – Summary Plan Description	Raytheon/ Miller 39-68		Undue confusion as to technical matters; irrelevant (Fed. R. Evid. 403)		
42.	Compensation/payroll history re: Richard Miller	Raytheon/ Miller 197-209	X			
43.	"Your Retirement Guide" – For Participants in the Raytheon Company Pension Plan for Salaried Employees	RM 91-114		Undue confusion as to technical matters; irrelevant (Fed. R. Evid. 403)		
44.	Pension benefit calculations re: Richard Miller	RM 569-580		Undue confusion as to technical matters; irrelevant (Fed. R. Evid. 403)		

				DEF.'S		
No.	DESCRIPTION	IDENTIFIER	AGREED	OBJECTION(S)	OFFERED	ADMITTED
		RM 595-97,				
	Various RBI bonus awards and	610-613, 623-		Undue confusion as to technical matters:		
	compensation summaries re:	624, 628-30,		irrelevant (Fed. R.		
45.	Richard Miller	637, 641-42		Evid. 403)		
		RM 633, 635-				
	Various achievement awards earned	36, 639-40,		Irrelevant (Fed. R.		
46.	by Richard Miller	643-45		Evid. 403)		
	Miller's 2007 and 2008 IRS Form	RM 1305-				
47.	W-2s	1306	X			
				No objections subject		
				to underlying documents used to		
				create log being		
4.0	D. 1 11211	D1 (000 00		produced (Fed. R.		
48.	Richard Miller – Job Search Log	RM 230-32		Evid. 1006)		
				No objections subject to underlying		
				documents used to		
	Richard Miller – supplemental job	RM 1367-		create log being		
49.	search	1376		produced (Fed. R. Evid. 1006)		
49.	scarcii	1370				
				Hearsay and recorded		
				recollection (Fed. R. Evid. 802, 803(5));		
	Documents relating to Miller's job			Authenticity (Fed. R.		
50.	search	RM 244-439		Evid. 901-902).		
	Excerpts of Defendant Raytheon					
	Company's Answers and/or					
	Objections to Plaintiff's					
51.	Interrogatories (Nos. 1 and 7)	Ex. 61	X			

No	DESCRIPTION	IDENTIFIER	ACDEED	DEF.'S	OFFERED	ADMITTED
No. 52.	Excerpts of Defendant Raytheon Company's Answers and/or Objections to Plaintiff's Third Interrogatories (Nos. 17-18 and 21)	Ex. 71	AGREED	OBJECTION(S) Defendant objects to the admissibility of this exhibit to the extent it will disclose highly confidential information about current and/or former employees of Raytheon. Dates of birth must be redacted subject to Fed.R.Civ.P. 5.2. Defendant further objects on grounds that the date of birth information is duplicative of the information already set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit 53 (March 16, 2010 Declaration of Amos Wilson), which the parties have already agreed as to its admissibility.	OFFERED	ADMITTED
53.	March 16, 2010 declaration of Amos Wilson	Doc. No. 42	X			
54. 55.	Severance offer and release of claims re: Richard Miller Richard Miller's charge of age discrimination (#450-2008-04615)	RM 115-117 RM 6-7	X X			
56.	No exhibit.					
57.	No exhibit.					
58.	Timeline of Events (demonstrative)			Defendant reserves any objection that it may have to the content and/or use of demonstrative exhibits		

	T			DEE 10		
.,	DEGCRIPTION		A CIDEED	DEF.'S	OFFERE	ADMITTED
No.	DESCRIPTION	IDENTIFIER	AGREED	OBJECTION(S)	OFFERED	ADMITTED
				Defendant reserves		
	Summary of Damages (current			any objection that it		
	through time of trial)			may have to the		
59.	(demonstrative)			content and/or use of		
39.	(demonstrative)			demonstrative exhibits		
				Defendant reserves		
				any objection that it may have to the		
				content and/or use of		
				demonstrative exhibits.		
				To the extent that		
				Plaintiff intends to		
				summarize		
				information		
				concerning decisional		
				units outside of R.		
				Lyells' group,		
				Defendant objects as		
				irrelevant (Fed. R.		
	Impact of RIF on SAS's Texas			Evid. 403) and subject		
60				to its pending Motion		
60.	employees (demonstrative)			in Limine.		
				Defendant reserves		
				any objection that it		
				may have to the		
	Open Supply Chain Job			content and/or use of demonstrative exhibits.		
	Requisitions (≥A05) for which			Defendant objects		
	Raytheon Rejected or Failed to			subject to its pending		
61.	Consider Miller (demonstrative)			Motion in Limine.		
				Defendant reserves		
				any objection that it		
				may have to the		
				content and/or use of		
				demonstrative exhibits.		
	Monel 2000 DIE Emplemen			Defendant objects		
	March 2008 RIF – Employees			subject to its pending		
62.	Lyells Placed in Decisional Units			Motion in Limine.		
				Defendant reserves		
				any objection that it		
				may have to the		
				content and/or use of demonstrative exhibits.		
	March 2008 RIF – Employee on			Defendant objects		
	PRISM Project Who Lyells Did Not			subject to its pending		
63.	Place in Decisional Unit			Motion in Limine.		
	Defendant Raytheon Company's			1.1000m in Emiliae.		
	First Amended Supplemental					
	Answers and/or Objections to					
	Plaintiff's First Interrogatories (No.					
64.	4).		X			
· ·	·/·		4.3			I

2. PORTIONS OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY THAT PLAINTIFF MAY PRESENT

Miller may present the following portions of depositions taken in this case.

Witness	Deposition (page(s)/line(s))
William E. Anderson	7:5-9, 7:19-8:2, 8:18-9:7
	14:7-15:1, 16:8-12

	17.7 0 17.16 20
	17:7-9, 17:16-20
	36:16-37:1 38:18-40:1, 41:22-25, 43:10-
	12, 43:16-18, 44:5-9, 45:19-24,
	46:7-9, 46:12-16, 46:19-24
	66:16-68:4
	68:23-69:4, 69:14-24, 70:1-5
	70:16-18, 70:20-72:2
	76:9-79:6, 79:10-80:1,
	80:17-22
	81:15-82:2, 82:5-24
	83:5-12
	95:24-96:5, 96:9-18,
	96:21-97:5
	97:8-16
	97:20-98:7
	98:10-99:1
	99:17-100:7
	108:2-4, 108:20-25
	109:1-3
	4:11-13
	8:18-9:4
	9:20-10:12
	12:12-20
	13:5-14:24
	15:2-17
	19:5-20:12
	21:14-19
	22:15-21
	26:24-29:17
Lisa Crump	30:6-25
	31:6-33:10
	34:5-35:9
	36:11-37:10
	37:24-38:2
	38:7-9, 38:21-23
	39:2-10, 39:15-40:2
	40:21-41:13
	42:24-44:3
	44:4-17
	46:12-47:16

	10 = 10 0
	48:7-49:3
	49:5-50:15
	52:12-53:4
	59:17-61:8
	61:24-62:5
	63:23-65:18
	66:4-67:16
	67:18-70:7
	71:11-23
	72:15-73:11
	75:25-77:24 78:11-14
	78:25-79:18
	80:21-23, 81:1-83:8
	84:5-85:5
	85:24-87:17
	91:6-16, 91:19-22
	92:17-94:23
	96:7-20
	98:8-99:4
	100:10-101:21
	102:11-103:2
	106:5, 106:19-107:4, 107:20- 108:8
	108:13-20, 109:5-110:15
	111:4-112:11
	113:21-114:20
	115:21-25
	116:17-117:2, 117:8-24,
	118:6-10, 118:18-23
	124:3-125:25
	126:4-127:20
	128:7-21
	5:7-11, 5:16-6:14
	8:20-9:24
	10:14-23
	11:11-21
	31:22-35:20
Vivek Kamath	38:16-40:10
	41:5-17
	44:8-13
	45:13-22
	47:8-48:2
	53:20-54:13

	58:16-59:19
	60:5-18
	61:14-62:18
	71:12-72:11
	73:16-23
	74:10-75:12
	77:20-78:13
	81:7-82:10
	85:15-86:5
	88:7-24
	90:8-14
	91:18-93:5
	97:24-98:1
	98:10-99:13
	104:5-19
	106:4-9, 107:17-111:8
	111:1-5
	119:15-120:20
	123:3-5, 123:17-23
	124:21-125:23
	126:12-127:12
	141:3-6
	144:9-145:18
	146:14-20
	147:3-12
	6:13-7:5
	8:12-17
James Lam	18:21-24
	19:3-14
	29:24-30:3, 30:6-17
	8:11-24, 9:1-8
	13:10-14:1
	15:1-16:17
	17:19-18:6, 19:1-14
	23:6-24:23
	25:3-26:14
	29:1-4
Robert Lyells	30:1-32:7-12
	34:22-35:10
	43:11-45:10
	46:15-49:3
	63:13-64:9
	79:7-10, 80:5-14
	90:11-91:1

	1
	95:14-20
	105:9-22, 106:6-14
	111:10-19
	115:11-116:1, 117:15-18
	119:1-120:8
	124:2-125:1
	135:9-15
	148:4-11
	148:16-25
	155:9-156:1
	156:24-157:5
	165:3-166:3
	169:11-18
	170:20-25
	175:8-176:8
	179:19-182:1
	192:6-193:3
	199:7-200:3
	202:1-5
	202:13-203:9, 203:17-20
	206:18-23
	237:20-238:20
	9:12-20
	10:10-20
	12:9-14
	13:23-17:1
	18:21-24
	35:4-18
	45:18-23
	47:11-48:18
	51:5-11
	52:8-53:9
	56:5-9
Allen Reid	56:22-57:11
	59:24-60:12
	61:2-62:6
	63:3-65:8, 65:10-13
	66:8-13, 66:17-19
	74:23-76:10
	77:9-78:8
	79:2-80:13
	82:6-83:7
	87:4-88:10
	89:23-91:14

	92:19-93:17
	110:8-11:1
	111:3-116:3
	117:9-118:9
	123:10-124:1
	124:24-126:10
	127:3-18
	132:16-135:23
	138:23-140:14
	141:18-144:7
	145:22-146:11
	164:1-165:8
	182:6-187:19
	193:15-196:13
	198:4-199:5
	205:16-208:13
	4:11-13, 4:16-5:1
	5:16-6:13
	9:12-15
	10:16-11:3
	16:2-18:9
	18:22-19:19
	27:7-12
	28:15-31:6
	32:13-33:6, 33:15-19, 33:25-
	34:6
	36:1-9
	36:17-37:12
	38:6-14
	39:6-40:22
Loretta Sweeney	43:4-9
Loretta 5 weeney	44:23-46:16, 47:6-13
	54:12-14
	55:19-25
	56:25-58:20
	60:5-14
	62:15-17, 22-25
	63:6-8, 64:1-10 87:25-88:5
	88:21-89:15
	91:22-92:4
	93:1-94:9
	95:21-96:6
	102:3-103:19
	104:13-15

	104.01.105.4
	104:21-105:4
	112:8-12
	4:11-12
	5:14-16
	8:6-19
	9:13-11:1
	12:2-13:9
	16:12-24
	17:5-11
	21:25-22:21
	24:2-15
	25:21-28:3
	31:13-39:22
	42:24-43:24
	46:18-47:13, 47:20-48:18
	49:13-53:13
	54:2-57:16
	57:22-58:13
	58:18-59:21
	63:22-64:16
	66:7-21
	67:5-8, 67:21-68:9
	69:2-6
	70:12-72:17
	72:23-73:6
	73:12-74:16
	76:25-77:8
	77:19-80:2
	80:13-16
	81:1-10
	90:22-92:12
	93:11-95:1
	95:14-19
	96:8-10, 96:14
	98:12-99:13
	106:15-107:18
	108:5-23
	110:12-17
	110:23-111:22
	112:19-25
	113:20-114:3
	121:2-122:6
	123:6-16, 123:19-124:10
	124:15-17
Amos Wilson	124:20-23

126:10-127:11
132:21-133:5
133:19-134:13
134:15-135:13
135:19-136:7
136:25-137:25
138:20-139:12
141:10-12
148:24-149:12
150:13-151:6
152:4-7
160:12-15
161:8-164:22

Miller's counsel deposed each of these witnesses before a certified court reporter and/or videographer. Because Miller may use some of the above-listed testimony for limited purpose(s), or because the testimony may be admissible only for limited purpose(s), Miller's disclosure of this testimony is not intended to waive any objection that he may have as to its admissibility for other purposes.

DATED: July 7, 2010 Respectfully submitted,

GILLESPIE, ROZEN & WATSKY P.C. 3402 Oak Grove Avenue, Suite 200 Dallas, Texas 75204

Tel: (214) 720-2009 Fax: (214) 720-2291

/s/ James D. Sanford

Hal K. Gillespie

Attorney-in-Charge
Texas Bar No. 07925500
hkg@grwlawfirm.com
Yona Rozen
Texas Bar No. 17958500
yrozen@grwlawfirm.com
Edith K. Thomas
Texas Bar No. 24060717
ethomas@grwlawfirm.com
James D. Sanford
Texas Bar No. 24051289
jsanford@grwlawfirm.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE

The undersigned certify that the parties' counsel have conferred over the admissibility of exhibits listed in Plaintiff's exhibit list and that the foregoing list, which indicates agreement or objections as to certain exhibits, reflects the current state of the parties' agreement.

DATED: July <u>7</u>, 2010

FORD & HARRISON LLP GILLESPIE, ROZEN & WATSKY, P.C. 1601 Elm St., Suite 4450 3402 Oak Grove Ave., Suite 200

 Dallas, Texas 75201
 Dallas, Texas 75204

 Tel: 214.256.4700
 Tel: 214.720.2009

 Fax: 214.256.4701
 Fax: 214.720.2291

By: /s/ Buena Vista Lyons
(by permission: JDS)

By: /s/ James D. Sanford
Hal K. Gillespie

Michael P. Maslanka
Attorney-in-charge
Texas Bar No. 13148800
MMaslanka@fordharrison.com
Buena Vista Lyons
Texas Bar No. 00797630
Texas Bar No. 00797630
Texas Bar No. 17958500

Texas Bar No. 00797630 Texas Bar No. 17958500 VLyons@fordharrison.com yrozen@grwlawfirm.com James D. Sanford

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT

Texas Bar No. 24051289
jsanford@grwlawfirm.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that on July <u>7</u>, 2010 he electronically submitted the foregoing document to the clerk of court for the U.S. District Court, Northern District of Texas, using the electronic case files system of the court. The electronic case files system sent a "Notice of Electronic Filing" to the following individuals, who have consented in writing to accept this Notice as service of this document by electronic means: Michael P. Maslanka, Esq., and Buena Vista Lyons, Esq., of FORD & HARRISON LLP, attorneys for Defendant Raytheon Company.

/s/ James D. Sanford
One of Plaintiff's Counsel